

**CONFIDENTIAL**ANNEX ASuggested revision of paragraphs 2 - 4

2. The argument in support of the recommendations in Reference A is based on an incomplete and therefore somewhat misleading account of the origin of the Situation Report Series. Actually three, rather than two, lines of thought were involved, to wit:

- a. (As in the draft)
- b. (As in the draft)
- c. An ICAPS concept of a series addressed to readers below the IAB level and to be revised monthly, in effect a series of monthly current intelligence publications on a country by country basis expressly intended by ICAPS to replace the Weekly Summary.

The pertinent statement in the approved ORE production program embodies the points insisted upon by ICAPS with respect to readership and monthly review, but includes also points made by ORE that the Series should include summaries for readers at a higher level, that its content should be analysis of the basic factors in the situation rather than current intelligence, and that revision should be undertaken only as required.

3. The conflict of concept to which Reference A refers (para. 2) was not between the ORE and ONI concepts, which ORE has success-

**CONFIDENTIAL**

**CONFIDENTIAL**

- 2 -

combined, but rather between them and the concept formerly held by ICAPS. In any case, the conflict was resolved in the approved ORE production program. Reference A now withdraws ICAPS' former insistence on monthly revision in favor of no revision in any circumstances. The approved concept provides for revision as required, but only then, and manifestly if revision is required it should be made. Actually ORE contemplates completion of the SR Series, but no revision except as actually required and the eventual supersession of the Series by the National Intelligence Surveys, the first section of which will be a "Brief" of approximately the same scale and content.

4. Since the recommendations in Reference A requires no revision of the CIA concept of the Situation Report Series, there remains only the proposed change in name to "Brief Studies." OHI used that term for descriptive purposes (not as a proposed title for the Series), but the sample furnished by OHI was brief only in relation to a JANIS or an MIS and the term must be understood in that relative sense. Actually the items published and in preparation in the Series are far from brief. No reason is apparent to invite confusion by changing the established designation.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

**CONFIDENTIAL**

## ANNEX B

**Suggested revision of paragraphs 6 and 7**

6. The true distinction between the GEE and SE Series is not one of the level of readership (as ICAPS presupposes), but one of function (as indicated in paragraph 2 a above). Items in both Series begin with a brief summary of the essential contents for the benefit of all readers, but particularly those on a high level who ordinarily lack time to read further. The more detailed discussion which follows may normally be of concern only to readers at a lower level, but should be immediately available to any high level reader who wishes to go further into the subject or who wishes to consider the supporting argument for a particular conclusion stated in the summary.

7. It is recommended that:

a. Reference B be rescinded, and Reference A be referred to ORE for appropriate action.

b. The ORE Series continue to be used for responses to specific requests and for unscheduled estimates of emergent situations.

c. The SR Series be completed, but that no revisions be undertaken except as actually required.

d. No new series be established until the need for it has been clearly demonstrated.

**CONFIDENTIAL**